United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,663	11/06/2003	John C Schwarz	116825-00109	7364
27557 7590 08/10/2007 BLANK ROME LLP 600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			TRAN LIEN, THUY	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
		· .	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	+	08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/701,663	SCHWARZ, JOHN C				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lien T. Tran	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXDIRE 2 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAVS				
WHICHER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	<u>une 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Pager No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I					

Application/Control Number: 10/701,663

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-12, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahn et al in (4350711) view of Weisberger et al.

Kahn et al disclose methods for infusing fruits. The fruits include cherries, strawberries, elderberries or any fruit which is capable of undergoing an osmotic exchange with a sugar solution. Almost all fruits possess this property. The method comprises the steps of creating site or site for the infusion of the sugar solids and infusing with sugar solids by immersing the fruit in a solute containing bath comprised of a fructose containing solution. Fruits which have been previously frozen as well as fresh fruits may be infused. The fruits can be immersed in sequential baths of sugar solids. The infusion process may be performed in a batch or a continuous manner. The infusion process may require from about 36-60 hours. The infused fruit products may be dehydrated by any of a number of conventional processes. (see col. 1 lines 57-66, col. 2 lines 3-56, col. 3 lines 58-60, col. 5 lines 14-15, col. 6 lines 18-20, col. 7 lines 12-15)

Kahn et al do not disclose cranberries, using a tapered punch having the dimensions as claimed, using baffled barrels, the capacity of the barrels, the time and temperature of the drying and the sugar content as claimed.

Weisberger et al disclose a piercing device comprising a tubular rod having a first end and a second end; the first end is tapered to a point. (see col. 2 lines 65-66, and element number 30 in the figure.

Kahn et al disclose any fruit can be infused; thus, it would have been obvious to infuse cranberries to make sweetened cranberries. It would have been obvious to use

Application/Control Number: 10/701,663

"Control Hamber: 10/701,00

Art Unit: 1761

any device to create sites for the infusion. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use any piercing device, such as the one disclosed by Weisberger et al to create sites on the fruit for the infusing step. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use any device having any varying dimension as long as sites can be made to allow for the infusion. It would have been obvious to use any variety of cranberries; this would have been an obvious matter of choice. It would have been obvious to use any container which has the capacity to hold the fruit; this determination is within the skill of one in the art. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to determine the appropriate time and temperature for the drying process depending on the moisture content wanted. Such factors are result-effective variables which can readily be determined through routine experimentation. It would have been obvious to make the solution having any degree of sugar content depending on the degree of sweetness desired for the product.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahn et al in view of Weisberger et al as applied to claims 1-12, 15-17 above, and further in view of Fletcher.

Kahn et al do not disclose coating with oil before drying and the amount of oil used.

Fletcher discloses a method of making infused solid fruit product. Fletcher teaches to light coat the infused fruit with edible oil to facilitate handing and packaging.

It would have been obvious to coat the fruit with oil for the reason taught by Fletcher. In absence of showing of criticality or unexpected result, t would have been a

Art Unit: 1761

matter of preference to coat before drying or after drying because the same function is obtained. It would have been within the skill of one in the art to determine the appropriate amount through routine experimentation.

In the response filed 6/5/07, applicant argues the rejection does not have any rationale as to why one of ordinary skill in the art would make such modifications. The basis of applicant's argument is perplexing. The examiner takes the position that it would have been obvious to use any known piercing device such as the one disclosed by Weiberger et al to create the site on the fruit to allow the infusion to take place in the Kahn et al method. Kahn et al disclose that in some fruit, the site for infusion is obtained by removal of the stem; however, when whole fruits are treated, additional sites amy be created by pricking the skin of the fruit or providing longitudinal or latitudinal slits. They also disclose the steps taken to prepare the fruit for infusion may differ as a function of the properties or ultimate use of the particular fruit employed. When employing fruit like cranberries in which there is no site for the infusion by the removal of stem. It would have been obvious to pierce the fruit so that the infusing liquid can get into the fruit and it would have been obvious to use known piercing device such as the one disclosed by Weisberger et al. Applicant does not argue the position taken.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/5/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,663 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hendricks Keith can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,663 Page 6

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 6, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Choup 1700